

REMARKS

Responsive to the objections and rejections made of the Examiner in office action. We have amended the claims. All the errors disclosed in that office action has been corrected according to the Examiner's indications disclosed in the official action.

The claims 3 and 4 claim an object illustrated in Figs. 1 to 7 of the present invention. The claim 5 claims an object illustrated in Figs. 8 to 11 of the present invention. The confusions of the original claims 3 to 5 are induced from the vagueness in the definitions of the banks of the first rings 18 and second rings 22. Thus, in the amendments, the banks of the first and second rings are defined clearly based on the drawings.

If there is any error in the claims, applicant requests and authorizes Examiner to amend the claims of the present invention so that they can match the requirement of U. S. Patent. Attentions of Examiner to this matter are greatly appreciated.

Since in the office action, the claims 3 to 5 are allowed in condition, and the applicant has amended the claims according to the suggestion in the office action, and thus it is now believed that the subject Patent Application has been placed in condition for allowance, and such action is respectively requested.

Respectfully submitted.

LIN, Tzong-Fu

Dated: 12 / 23 /2004

235 Chung - Ho Box 8-24

Taipei Taiwan R. O. C.